

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER &
HEDGES, LLP
David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758)
Albert P. Bedecarré (Bar No. 148178)
Diane Doolittle (Bar No. 142046)
Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927)
Emily C. O'Brien (Bar No. 240072)
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com
albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com
dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com
emilyobrien@quinnemanuel.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Siliconix incorporated

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
Jack Q. Lever (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Shamita D. Etienne (State Bar No. 202090)
Peter P. Chen (Bar No. 111426)
Bijal V. Vakil (Bar No. 192878)
3150 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, California 94304-1212
Telephone: 650.813.5000
Facsimile: 650.813.5100
jlever@mwe.com
setienne@mwe.com
pchen@mwe.com
bvakil@mwe.com

Attorneys for Defendants
Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and
Panasonic Corporation of North America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SILICONIX INCORPORATED,
a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

DENSO CORPORATION, a Japanese
corporation, and TD SCAN (U.S.A.), INC.,
a Michigan corporation,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C 05-01507 WHA

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER PERMITTING USE OF
EQUIPMENT IN COURTROOM**

Judge: The Honorable William H. Alsup
Date: September 21, 2006
Time: 8:00 a.m.
Ctroom: 9, 19th Floor

AND CONSOLIDATED ACTION NO.
C 05-03617 WHA.

STIPULATION

It is hereby stipulated by and between Siliconix incorporated (“Siliconix”) Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and Panasonic Corporation of North America (collectively “Matsushita”) through their respective counsel of record, and respectfully requested that the Court grant the following:

WHEREAS during the summary judgment hearing scheduled to take place on September 21, 2006 Siliconix and Matsushita intend to utilize certain audiovisual and electrical equipment to present information to the Court regarding the issues involved in this action.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. For purposes of the summary judgment hearing on September 21, 2006, Siliconix and Matsushita may bring the following equipment and other items into the courtroom:

- (a) VCR and/or laser disc equipment;
- (b) video monitors;
- (c) a projector and a projection screen;
- (d) high resolution ELMO document camera;
- (e) laptop and/or desktop computers;
- (f) control and power devices relating to the above equipment;
- (g) miscellaneous cables, power cords, and peripheral equipment;
- (h) oversized demonstrative exhibits;
- (i) exhibit easels; and
- (j) equipment carts.

2. Beginning at 7:00 a.m. on September 21, 2006, Siliconix and Matsushita may have access to the courtroom to set up the above equipment so that the parties will be prepared to proceed with the summary judgment hearing at its scheduled time of 8:00 a.m.

1 DATED: September 19, 2006

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

3 By /s/ David Eiseman

4 David Eiseman
5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
6 Siliconix incorporated


7 DATED: September 19, 2006

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

8 By /s/ John Gilmore

9 John Gilmore
10 Attorneys for Defendants
11 Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and
12 Panasonic Corporation of North America

13 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED



14 DATED: September 20, 2006

15
16
17
18
19
20 The Honorable William H. Alsup
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28